

EMR Every Step Conference, Toronto
September 22, 2016

Enhanced EMR Value for Physicians Piloting an EMR Physician Dashboard

Darren Larsen, MD, CCFP, MPLC
Chief Medical Information
Officer

Rachel Bevan, MD, PhD, CCFP

Faculty / Presenter Disclosure

- **Faculty / Presenters:** Dr. Darren Larsen, Dr. Rachel Bevan
- **Relationships with commercial interests:**
 - Dr. Larsen is a full time employee of OntarioMD
 - Dr. Bevan has no relationships with commercial interests

Disclosure of Commercial Support


- This program has not received financial support or in-kind support from any organization
- **Potential for conflict(s) of interest:**
 - The presenter has not received payment or funding from any organization supporting this program but is employed by company whose product(s) are being discussed in this program.

Mitigating Potential Bias


- There are no potential sources of bias.

Session Objectives


By the end of this session, you will be able to answer the following:



What are the most common types of dashboards used by clinicians?



What clinical purpose do dashboards serve?



How do dashboards prepare for broader analytics and reporting objectives?

Session Outline


1. The Dashboard Environment
2. OntarioMD EMR Physician Dashboard Framework Proof of Concept
3. Proof of Concept Objectives
4. Current Progress and Timelines
5. Proof of Concept Benefits Evaluation and Early Lessons Learned

What is a Dashboard?




Local EMR Dashboards

- EMR-specific examples
- Disease-based
- Locally-customized
- Difficult to scale
- Not linked to system objectives outside of fee codes




miDASH

intelliDASH



External Dashboards

Indicators of Patients (%) *



External Dashboards

- Manual extractions
- Research-based
- Not real time
- Data normalization after the fact
- Drill downs often not possible
- Limited in scope to selected EMR vendor solutions/practice models
- Cannot customize

Environmental Scan - Summary

- There will never be only one, single dashboard
- There is currently no single dashboard solution that is repeatable across all EMR vendor solutions
- Data quality begins at the point of care and is a fundamental requirement for indicator effectiveness
- Clinicians are motivated for change when there is clinical or practice value
- Existing solutions are not often driven by provincial indicator frameworks)

OntarioMD EMR Dashboard Framework

- Proof of concept at this time
- Endorsed provincial indicators included
- Data quality improvement opportunity
- Is provincially scalable across all certified EMRs
- Easily expanded with new and indicators
- Collaborative



Developed in Collaboration

Clinical Working Group

- Business requirements development
- Composed of HQO, CIHI, AFHTO and practicing docs
- Selection and refinement of indicators

EMR Vendors



A Framework for Provincial Indicators

- Mapping of validated indicators from HQO, CIHI and AFHTO
- 17 overlap in 7 clinical areas
 - Diabetes
 - Cancer prevention
 - Immunization
 - Smoking
 - HT
 - Obesity
 - CAD

Framework for Provincial Indicators

- What's needed?
 - Validity
 - Actionability
 - Scalability
 - Usability
 - Benchmarking
 - Reporting potential
 - Look to the future: performance measurement

Proof of Concept Sites

Clinicians

- Approx. 100 physicians in two vendors participating in demonstration of the proof of concept
- Physicians represent a mix of practice models and maturity levels
- Includes General Practitioners as well as community based specialists (Rheumatology)

Provincially Scalable

Expandable customizable indicators

Easily expanded to include new indicators

Can be customized and shared with other physicians


Repeatable across EMR vendor platforms

Based on OntarioMD Core Data Set (CDS) data elements common to all EMR vendors

Change management

Education in use of dashboard tools
Support for data quality improvement

Timeline and Outcomes



Deployment more broadly New Year of 2017

Benefits Evaluation

- Assess clinical value for Provincial Indicator use
- Usefulness in quality improvement work
- Improvements in data quality
- Scalability and extendibility across vendors
- Change management needs for implementation
 - what works? what doesn't?
 - how is the dashboard best used in different environments?
- Early plan for provincial rollout


Early Learning

- Provincial indicators are on their own maturity curve and require refinement and feedback to the framework owners:
 - Limitations in clinical usefulness surfaced during the selection of indicators
 - Some indicators must be enhanced to be ‘actionable’.
- The comparative assessment of ‘my indicator results’ with the practice, region, province is in high demand

Preparing for the Future

Dashboards provide clinical motivation for improved data quality as a foundation for Triple or Quadruple AIM

- The broader sharing and aggregation of primary and secondary care data
- Benchmarking and comparative reporting
- Improved clinical quality and population health



Thanks!

darren.larsen@ontariomd.com
@larsendarren

The views expressed in this publication are the views of OntarioMD and do not necessarily reflect those of the Province.

